(MON) JAN 30 2006 12:58/ST. 12:56/No. 6833031724 P 6

CASE NO.: 50N3463.01

Serial No.: 09/775,692

Page 2

FROM BOGITZ 619 338 8078

January 26, 2006

Filed: February 2, 2001

(col. 2, lines 15-18). It says nothing about combining a video frame in a Web frame. For this reason, the

rejections are overcome.

Anderson et al., col. 4, lines 53-68 has been used as a teaching of generating a transparent section in

browser based content frame. This allegation is incorrect. The relied-upon section discusses totally different

concepts, namely, a pop-up button bar that can be used to select favorite TV channels, or to select a TV

planner for planning TV viewing, or to select a VCR, or to select a list of Web sites that are associated with

TV channels. But there is absolutely nothing about transparent sections, much less transparent sections in

browser based content frames. For this reason, the rejections are overcome.

Anderson et al., col. 5, lines 15-24 has been used as a teaching of overlapping video into the

transparent section. This allegation is incorrect. The relied-upon portion of Anderson et al. only discusses that

in the Internet mode, a TV window 98 can be displayed during Internet browsing, but nothing in this section

states that the window 98 is overlaid into a transparent section, as opposed to being superimposed onto Web

content, much less does Anderson et al. enable one how to render the claimed transparent section. Since

Anderson et al. does not teach all claimed limitations and since in any case it evidently is not enabling, the

rejections are overcome (see MPEP §2121; since Anderson et al. does not even mention the word

"transparent", how can it enable a transparent section of a browser display?)

The fact that Applicant has focussed its comments distinguishing the present claims from the applied

references and countering certain rejections must not be construed as acquiescence in other portions of

rejections not specifically addressed.

1168-176.AMD

PAGE 6/7 * RCVD AT 1/30/2006 3:58:55 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/39 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:16193388078 * DURATION (mm-ss):02-04

CASE NO.: 50N3463.01 Serial No.: 09/775,692 January 26, 2006 Page 3

PATENT Filed: February 2, 2001

The Examiner is cordially invited to telephone the undersigned at (619) 338-8075 for any reason which would advance the instant application to allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

John L. Rogitz Registration No. 33,549 Attorney of Record 750 B Street, Suite 3120

San Diego, CA 92101

Telephone: (619) 338-8075

JLR:jg

1168-175.AMD